



puted on the basis of the iron atom phase contributions. Refinement was carried out by difference electron density calculations. Final coordinates are given in Table 2 where Fe(2), O(3), O(4) and B are in the 4(c) positions of the space group  $Pnma$  while Fe(1), O(1) and O(2) are in the 8(d) positions. A final  $R$  value of 0.086 was obtained for 187 observed reflections using isotropic temperature factors with  $B=0.52 \text{ \AA}^2$  for the iron atoms and  $B=0.90 \text{ \AA}^2$  for the lighter atoms. The calculated and observed values of the structure factors are given in Table 3.

The structure is an unusual one for boron compounds, as it contains the tetrahedral  $\text{BO}_3^-$  anion. However, the crystals are isostructural with the mineral norbergite,  $\text{Mg}_2\text{SiO}_4\text{Mg}(\text{OH},\text{F})_2$  (Taylor & West, 1929). Since for  $\text{Fe}_3\text{BO}_6$  the conditions of preparation preclude the existence of divalent iron and hence of hydroxyl groups, both crystallographically independent iron atoms are octahedrally co-

ordinated by six oxygen atoms, whereas in norbergite the octahedra coordinating the magnesium atoms contain both oxygen atoms and hydroxyl groups (or fluorine atoms). The accuracy of the coordinates and bond distances is limited by the almost exact overlap of O(1) and O(4) and the poor resolution of O(2) and O(3) in the  $h0l$  projection. The mean distances are 1.47 Å for the B–O bonds and 2.04 Å for the Fe–O bonds.

The authors thank Mrs D. Garrison for organizing the computational work, and B. Goydish for the chemical analysis.

#### References

- BERNAL, I., STRUCK, C. W. & WHITE, J. G. (1963). *Acta Cryst.* **16**, 849.  
TAYLOR, W. H. & WEST, J. (1929). *Z. Kristallogr.* **70**, 461.

*Acta Cryst.* (1965). **19**, 1061

**The importance of the imaginary part of the scattering factor of bonded carbon.** By A. I. M. RAE and E. N. MASLEN, *Department of Physics, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, Western Australia*

(Received 8 February 1965 and in revised form 21 April 1965)

The theoretical evaluation of scattering factors for atoms in the bonded state is assuming increasing importance with the improvement in the accuracy of X-ray structure analyses. In particular, experimental evidence has been obtained for the distribution of  $\sigma$ -bonding electrons along the carbon-carbon bonds of the benzene ring (*e.g.* Rietveld & Maslen, 1965). A considerable amount of effort has been expended on the calculation of aspherical scattering curves (*e.g.* McWeeny, 1951, 1952, 1953, 1954; Freeman, 1959; Dawson 1961, 1964*a, b*). Most of this work refers to atoms in the ground or prepared valence state, which latter is spherically symmetric in the case of carbon (McWeeny, 1951) and is therefore not a sufficiently good approximation to account for the experimental observations. The only treatment of bonded carbon is that of McWeeny (1954) who derived aspherical scattering factors for the carbon atom in the diamond and graphite structures. Carbon in benzene would be expected to have a scattering factor similar to the latter to an approximation one order beyond that of spherically symmetric atoms. However, examination of the values given for the graphite scattering factor in Table 1 of McWeeny (1954) shows that, within the plane of the molecule, it is isotropic to within 0.02e. This extremely small variation is clearly not sufficient to account for the comparatively prominent  $\sigma$ -bond features which have been observed experimentally. Further examination of McWeeny's calculations shows that an imaginary contribution to the scattering factor has been ignored as insignificant. The present paper derives values for the imaginary contributions to the carbon scattering factor in diamond and in graphite and shows how it is related to the asymmetry arising from the  $\sigma$ -bonding. The practical application of complex scattering curves with particular reference to the structure of *p*-dihydrobenzene is discussed.

#### Diamond

The effective scattering factor for carbon in the diamond structure is given, using McWeeny's (1954) equation (5), by

$$f_0^e = f_{0c} + q_0 \sigma \sum_{n=1}^4 f_0(q_0 \sigma^n) + \frac{1}{2} q_{01} \sigma \sum_{n=1}^4 f_0(q_{0n} \sigma^n)$$

where the first two terms are isotropic and the last, which is the relevant anisotropic term, may be expanded as,

$$\frac{1}{2} q_{01} \sigma \sum_{n=1}^4 f(q_{0n} \sigma^n) \exp(2\pi i X R \hat{S} \cdot \hat{r}_n).$$

It follows from the above expression that the imaginary part of the scattering factor is antisymmetric with respect to  $\hat{S}$  and reaches a maximum in a direction parallel to one of the bonds [the direction  $\hat{S}_4$  as defined by McWeeny (1954)] where it is equal to,

$$\frac{1}{2} q_{01} \sigma [\sin(2\pi X R) f_0'' - 3 \sin(2\pi X R/3)] f_0 \psi$$

where  $\psi$  is the tetrahedral angle corresponding to  $\cos \psi = -\frac{1}{3}$ . (The minus sign is missing in McWeeny's paper but his results, which depend only on  $\cos(2\pi X R \cos \psi)$  are correct.) In a direction perpendicular to the plane containing two bonds (McWeeny's  $\hat{S}_3$ ) and in a direction bisecting the angle between two bonds (McWeeny's  $\hat{S}_1$  and  $\hat{S}_2$ ), the imaginary component falls to zero. Thus the anomaly whereby the scattering factors along  $\hat{S}_3$  and  $\hat{S}_4$  were almost identical is removed. The variations of the real and imaginary parts with  $X$  are illustrated in Fig. 1 and tabulated in Table 1.

#### Graphite

The effective scattering factor for graphite is given by McWeeny (1954) equation (7) as,

$$f_0^e = f_{0c} + q_0 \sigma f_{0v} + (q_0 \pi - q_0 \sigma) f_0(q_0 \pi) \\ + \frac{1}{2} q_{01} \sigma \sum_{n=1}^3 f(q_{0n} \sigma^n) \exp(2\pi i X R \hat{S} \cdot \hat{r}_n) \\ + \frac{1}{2} q_{01} \pi \sum_{n=1}^3 f(q_{0n} \pi^n) \exp(2\pi i X R \hat{S} \cdot \hat{r}_n)$$